

Re: WET Testing on Wind River

Everett Volk to: Colleen Rathbone

10/22/2012 11:40 AM

From: Everett Volk/R8/USEPA/US

To: Colleen Rathbone/R8/USEPA/US

Cc: Bruce Kent/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

I think a lay person would probably interpret test results to include TIE/TRE reports, though we would probably argue that they're a different beast than the actual test results. Thus, once again, I'm inclined to consider them encompassed in her request and release them. So, if we have them, let's get them scanned and uploaded.

Also, I was looking at the spreadsheet trying to figure out when the Steamboat Butte facility failed WET tests. What if there are blanks instead of 0s or 1s in the spreadsheet. Does that mean they didn't conduct a WET test in a particular year?

Everett Volk
Associate Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel, EPA Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Ph: (303) 312-7290
Fax: (303) 312-6859

CONFIDENTIAL: This transmission may contain deliberative, attorney-client, attorney work product or otherwise privileged material. Do not release under FOIA without appropriate review. If this message was sent to you in error, you are instructed to delete this message from your machine and all storage media whether electronic or hard copy.

Colleen Rathbone---10/22/2012 11:33:33 AM---Any WET test results should have been in the excel file I sent for the period of time she requested.

From: Colleen Rathbone/R8/USEPA/US

To: Everett Volk/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Bruce Kent/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 10/22/2012 11:33 AM

Subject: Re: WET Testing on Wind River

Any WET test results should have been in the excel file I sent for the period of time she requested. Are we actually talking about the WET TIE/TRE reports? I didn't consider those as in her original request, but I think that is what she has requested from Rich Mylott, and we should just provide it. Here is what she asked for:

The response to my FOIA shows that the Marathon Steamboat Butte facility failed WET in 2007 and 2008. Would you please supply whatever reports you received from the facility (as required by the permit) to show who what pollutants were causing toxicity (TIE plan) and what ever plan the company submitted to show what it would do to treat whatever toxins it found. I see it passed its WET test in 2012.

Colleen (Gillespie) Rathbone
Wastewater Unit Chief
U.S. EPA, Region 8
Phone: 303-312-6133
Fax: 303-312-6116

Everett Volk---10/22/2012 11:23:20 AM---WET results from the application process would be in the permit file, correct? In that case, I woul

From: Everett Volk/R8/USEPA/US
To: Bruce Kent/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Colleen Rathbone/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/22/2012 11:23 AM
Subject: Re: WET Testing on Wind River

WET results from the application process would be in the permit file, correct? In that case, I wouldn't worry about it. However, WET results from the permit monitoring requirement should be responsive to her FOIA request, so we should release those as a matter of course. If we have any, let's get them released soon. I haven't heard back from her about the permit file question I posed to her, but I'll call again today.

Everett

Everett Volk
Associate Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel, EPA Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Ph: (303) 312-7290
Fax: (303) 312-6859

CONFIDENTIAL: This transmission may contain deliberative, attorney-client, attorney work product or otherwise privileged material. Do not release under FOIA without appropriate review. If this message was sent to you in error, you are instructed to delete this message from your machine and all storage media whether electronic or hard copy.

Bruce Kent---10/22/2012 11:17:35 AM---We may have some older WET testing results as part of the application process as well as the permit

From: Bruce Kent/R8/USEPA/US
To: Everett Volk/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Colleen Rathbone/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 10/22/2012 11:17 AM
Subject: Re: WET Testing on Wind River

We may have some older WET testing results as part of the application process as well as the permit monitoring requirement.

Bruce Kent
Wastewater Unit
USEPA Region 8
8P-W-WW
1595 Wynkoop St.
Denver, Colorado 80202-1129
Phone: 303-312-6819
email: kent.bruce@epa.gov
Toll Free Number (Region 8 States) 1-800-227-8917

-----Everett Volk/R8/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Colleen Rathbone/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Bruce Kent/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

From: Everett Volk/R8/USEPA/US

Date: 10/19/2012 03:00PM

Subject: WET Testing on Wind River

Is the only permit that includes WET testing the Marathon Oil - Steamboat Butte permit? At least three of them have a definition for WET that refers to Section 1.3, but the permits' Sections 1.3 includes no WET requirement.

Context for this question is that I got an email from Ms. Shogren asking if the sampling results included the WET results for the permits. The only permit I could find with an explicit WET section was Steamboat Butte. And the sampling results include WET results, so I think I'm going to reply back that if the permit requires it, the WET results are in the spreadsheet. Sound reasonable?

Everett Volk
Associate Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel, EPA Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Ph: (303) 312-7290
Fax: (303) 312-6859

CONFIDENTIAL: This transmission may contain deliberative, attorney-client, attorney work product or otherwise privileged material. Do not release under FOIA without appropriate review. If this message was sent to you in error, you are instructed to delete this message from your machine and all storage media whether electronic or hard copy.